



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,296	01/22/2002	Chak Yin Tang	401549/M&C	4787
23548 7	590 11/08/2005		EXAMINER.	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			PALADINI, ALBERT WILLIAM	
700 THIRTEE SUITE 300	NTH ST. NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960			2125	- TALLK NOMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/051,296	TANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Albert W. Paladini	2125				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	VIS SET TO EXPIPE 2 MONTH	S) OB THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is signs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	anuary 2002.					
-	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
A4400b	(4)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
-aper	No(s)/Mail Date						

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action replaces action sent by examiner on 8/18/05 to correct the examiner's error in rejecting under the improper 35 USC 102 paragraph. The examiner apologizes for any inconvenience to the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Tsuta (JP2001025464A).

Tsuta discloses a method for analyzing facial deformation process using a finite element method, which is <u>active</u> by virtue of the <u>time series</u> utilized to represent the change in face muscles over a period of time. Tsuta's patent was issued on January 30, 2001, with an application date of May 9, 2000.

Relevant Prior Art

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Husseiny (5210704) discloses a system for determining wearout and predicting life expectancy of a mechanical structure using a finite element technique, whereby a dynamic analysis using a drift and diffusion analysis determines the prediction model of life expectancy.

Backer (5377119) discloses a method of optimizing casting conditions using a finite element computer model, where a series of calculations determines the volume of gas remaining in the mold cavity at any time by using the volumetric flow rate.

Chatham (5531122) discloses a fatigue analysis system and method used for construction and mining equipment which incorporates compliant finite element representations of a truck frame, dump body, and rear axle housing into a dynamic mechanical simulation mode.

Schaff (6708141) discloses a finite element method and apparatus for modeling cellular structure and simulation results are directly correlated with experimental time series data sets.

Art Unit: 2125

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Albert W. Paladini whose telephone number is (571) 272-3748. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 to 3:00 PM on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Leo P. Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

November 7, 2005

Albert W. Paladini
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2125

Page 4